

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the Legislature. LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR Muñoz LAST UPDATED 3/13/2025
ORIGINAL DATE 2/7/2025
BILL Senate Bill
SHORT TITLE Oil & Gas Funds to Judicial Retirement NUMBER 138/aSTBTC
ANALYST Gray

REVENUE* (dollars in thousands)

Type	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
CIT	\$0	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$2,400	Recurring	General Fund

Parentheses () indicate revenue decreases.

*Amounts reflect most recent analysis of this legislation.

Sources of Information

LFC Files

Agency Analysis Received From

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of STBTC Amendment to Senate Bill 138

The Senate Tax, Business and Transportation Committee amendment to Senate Bill 138 (SB138) removes the stricken language relating to the reporting on the distribution to the legislative retirement fund. In the original version, the bill struck that language, leaving the formula for the legislative unchanged but removing the mechanism by which the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) reports the amount. The amendment returns that provision, matching current law.

Synopsis of Senate Bill 138

SB138 removes the \$100 thousand monthly distribution made to both the magistrate and judicial retirement funds.

This bill does not contain an effective date and, as a result, would go into effect 90 days after the Legislature adjourns, or June 20, 2025, if enacted.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The bill is estimated to increase recurring general fund revenues by \$2.4 million. PERA asserts that the elimination of this distribution would be detrimental to the financial security of both

funds with a “high likelihood that the asset would be depleted within the next 20-30 years,” for both funds.

Analysis from the Administrative Office of the Courts echoes PERA’s estimate, stating that the impact on the judicial and magistrate retirement funds would be “severe,” reflecting a projected funding shortfall and a decrease in their funded ratios.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

PERA notes that the bill may run afoul of the Legislature’s constitutional obligation regarding retirement plans. The agency writes:

Article XX, Section 22, of the New Mexico Constitution prohibits the Legislature from enacting any law that changes the funding formula for a retirement plan unless adequate funding is provided. That section assigns the PERA board the sole and exclusive power to adopt actuarial assumptions, based on recommendations from an independent actuary. If the Legislature does not provide an adequate, alternative funding source, it is possible that this proposal to change the funding formula does not meet the requirements of that section.

BG/hj/SL2