Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the Legislature. LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

		LAST UPDATED	2/28/2025
SPONSOR	Stewart/Berghmans	ORIGINAL DATE	2/3/2025
		BILL	Senate Bill
SHORT TIT	LE Innovation in State Government Fund	NUMBER	83/aSFC
		ANALYST	Hilla

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT*

(dollars in thousands)

Agency/Program	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
DFA	No fiscal impact	Up to \$110.0	Up to \$110.0	Up to \$220.0	Recurring	General Fund
DFA	No fiscal impact	\$137.5	No fiscal impact	\$137.5	Nonrecurring	General Fund
Total	No fiscal impact	Up to \$247.5	Up to \$110.0	Up to \$357.5	Recurring	General Fund

Parentheses () indicate expenditure decreases.

Relates to Senate Bills 4, 48, and 49

Sources of Information

LFC Files

Agency Analysis Received From

Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

Public Regulation Commission (PRC)

Workforce Solutions Department (WSD)

Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD)

Department of Environment (NMED)

State Land Office (SLO)

Economic Development Department (EDD)

Agency Analysis was Solicited but Not Received From

Department of Transportation (DOT)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Senate Finance Committee amendment to Senate Bill 83

The Senate Finance Committee amendment to Senate Bill 83 (SB83) strips the \$10 million appropriation and the distribution of the fund to state agencies. This appropriation is not in the House version of the General Appropriation Act.

^{*}Amounts reflect most recent analysis of this legislation.

Synopsis of Senate Bill 83

Senate Bill 83 (SB83) appropriates \$10 million from the general fund to create the innovation in state government fund. The Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) will administer this fund, allowing agencies to create master plans and increase agency capacity to achieve netzero emissions, implement sustainable economic policies, provide technical support to entities applying for grants and other funding that seek to address climate change, and implement, enable, or reduce the barriers to implementing climate change policy. SB83 appropriates the following amounts from the innovation in state government fund:

- \$2.5 million to the Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD);
- \$2.5 million to New Mexico Department of Environment (NMED);
- \$1 million to the State Land Office (SLO);
- \$1 million to the Economic Development Department (EDD);
- \$1 million to the Workforce Solutions Department (WSD);
- \$1 million to the Public Regulation Commission (PRC);
- \$1 million to the Department of Transportation (DOT).

All appropriations are for expenditure in FY26-FY27, with any unexpended or unencumbered balance to revert at the end of FY27 back to the innovation in state government fund. All agencies with an appropriation must submit a report to DFA by June 30th of each year detailing how the funds will be expended, justification of any proposed changes to an agency's program structure, and whether an agency has received an appropriation from the government results and opportunity program fund (GRO) for a master plan as outlined in SB83.

Money in the fund may be expended in the event that general fund balances, including all authorized revenues and transfers to the general fund and balances in the general fund operating reserve, will not meet the level of appropriations authorized from the general fund for a fiscal year. The Legislature may appropriate from the innovation in state government fund to the general fund only in the amount necessary to meet the general fund appropriations for that fiscal year, and only if the Legislature has authorized transfers from the appropriation contingency fund, the general fund operating reserve, and the tax stabilization reserve that exhaust those fund balances.

This bill does not contain an effective date and, as a result, would go into effect 90 days after the Legislature adjourns if enacted, or June 20, 2025.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) anticipates the need for one full-time employee (FTE) of a cost up to \$110 thousand. The FTE would be housed at DFA's Infrastructure, Planning, and Development Division to oversee annual reports and review budgets. This analysis assumes the cost of 1 FTE.

Additionally, DFA states there would be a one-time nonrecurring cost of \$137.5 thousand to add requirements of the innovation in state government fund to its grant management system to adequately track and report the fund.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

SB83 is related to Senate Bill 48 (SB48) and Senate Bill 49 (SB49). SB48 establishes the community benefit fund for purposes similar to those of SB83. SB49 allocates the community benefit fund to the same agencies as SB83, except for PRC, to support net-zero emissions and other climate-related policies. SB83 and SB49 provide funding for similar purposes, however, SB83 aims to improve agency capacity to administer SB48 and SB49's initiatives. Additionally, SB49 provides a longer timeframe for fund expenditures compared to SB83. If SB48, SB49, and SB83 are all enacted, it may be worth considering an extension of the expenditure period for appropriations from the innovation in state government. This would help ensure equal prioritization and resource allocation across all bills, reducing the risk of fund reversions.

SLO states that in addition to allowing its office to expand and diversify low-carbon leasing opportunities, there could be an increase in SLO's leasing revenues in an undetermined amount.

Without specific measurable performance benchmarks, there is the potential for ineffective allocation of funds. This may be particularly problematic where structured outcomes for economic and infrastructure development are desired.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

Annual agency reporting to DFA creates accountability, however, the structure and nature of this reporting remains ambiguous in current bill language. Based on current bill language, it can be assumed that DFA is intended to create metrics to ensure funds are used to support SB83's authorized purposes.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Various agencies, such as DFA, EDD, and NMED state there would be a need for additional staff to ensure compliance with SB83.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

This bill relates to SB48, SB49, as well as Senate Bill 4 (SB4). SB4 makes an appropriation of \$3 million to NMED for greenhouse gas emission reductions.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

SB83 and SB48 should use the same definitions of "greenhouse gas." SB83 defines greenhouse gas as a gas or gaseous compound that contributes to the greenhouse effect by absorbing infrared radiation, whereas SB48 defines greenhouse gas as a gas or gaseous compound that contributes to the process through which heat is trapped near earth's surface by absorbing infrared radiation. SB83 and SB4 use the same definition of greenhouse gas.

NMED suggests changing the definition of "net-zero emissions" on page 4, lines 1-2 for clarity: "means the balancing of state-wide greenhouse gas emissions with greenhouse gas removal over the course of a calendar year such that the sum is zero emissions." On page 4, line 7, NMED suggests that the development of natural resources for recreation, for example, could be

Senate Bill 83/aSFC – Page 4

considered a sustainable economic policy and would be excluded from funding from SB83.

DFA indicates that the bill does not enumerate uses for any potential funding reverted at the end of FY27, creating the need for amendments or future legislation to reappropriate the reverted funds.

ALTERNATIVES

NMED states that an alternative could be to increase the agency's recurring general appropriations to achieve the same purposes at SB83.

EH/hj/SR/hg/sgs